

KEWEENAW COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS PUBLIC HEARING REQUEST

Fee: \$350.00 for a special meeting and public hearing \$250.00 for a regular meeting and public hearing

Date 3/18/2021	Parcel ID N 501-30-101	umber 002					a paone nearing
Property Owner(s) Name: Brent and Lindsay Fortin				ALL INFORMATION IS REQUIRED Applicant(s) Name: Brent and Lindsay Fortin			
Mailing Address: 27522 Smith Ave.				Mailing Address Same			
City : Calumet		State MI	Zip Code 49913	City		State	Zip Code
Phone 906.369.5528/906.296.		ann3@gma		Phone same	Email		
THIS APPLICATION	ON MUST BE LETTER AU	SIGNED I	BY THE PROPING THE APPL	ERTY OWNER(S) OR TH ICANT TO ACT ON THE	E OWNER(S)	MAY P	ROVIDE A
Current Zoning of Prope R-1	rty Pr	operty Addi				Total A	creage single
Location of Property: Gay Park		Road Between and Gay Park Road Lake Street					
Type of Request A variance of a requirement of the Zoning Ordinance A review of an administrative decision An appeal of a special approval denial An interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance text An interpretation of the Zoning Map				Article and/or Section of the Zoning Ordinance being appealed. Article 7 Section 7.9 Subsection 7-9-1 B.1			
For a variance to be gran	ructures as sta	ted in 7.9.1	B-1 on our 3.94	acre parcel without a princi			
Please see the attached due so we hope to build school aged and moving be able to enjoy it with o	documents. Uli our principal st out of our curre	timately, we ructure in pent location	e are hoping to be hases, as funds in the next 5 years.	pe permanent Residents in Gallow, so not to go into debtars is not in the plan. Mean is in and around Gay. We wools, bicycles, kayaks, etc. so	ay in the distar over it. Additi while we purch	nt future. onally, ou nased this	In order to ur children are property to
A COMPLETE SIT	E PLAN CON OUNTY ZON	TAINING ING ORDI	ALL OF THE	APPLICABLE DATA OU' ACCOMPANY THIS AP	TLINED IN T	HE KEW	VEENAW
I certify the information on and I also hereby grant permission j purpose of gathering information	accompanying this for members of the on related to this ar	s application to Planning Com polication	be complete, true a mission, Zoning Boo	nd correct under penalty of perjury ard of Appeals and county staff to er			chigan. erty for the
Authorized Signature(s) & if other than property ow	ner): Mnoya	/ .	tion required		3-10-202	21	
	DO NOT WRI	TE BELO	W THIS LINE	-KEWEENAW COUNTY	USE ONLY	41	
2/2/2021	Applica	ation Fee		Receipt Number	Case Nu	mber	
Zoning Administrator Sign	nature & Date	-					
Publication Date	Date N	lotices Maile	ed	Public Hearing Date	Other	-	

Supplemental Information Zoning Board of Appeals Case No.____

Please answer each of the following questions which correspond to the findings required for variance approval. The responses will be used by the Zoning Board of Appeals in its review of this case. The case will be heard whether or not the questions are completely answered. It is to **your advantage** to provide as detailed and complete a response to each question since it is your responsibility to provide information on each of the approval standards.

1. Why would strict compliance with the applicable Zoning Ordinance Standard(s) prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or be unnecessarily burdensome?

We purchased the land to be able to enjoy it. We plan to clean up the overgrown brush, tent, and store some of our belongings that we have for outdoor use safely and dry within a shed so we don't have to transport them back and forth on a weekly basis. Currently we are unable to build a permanent structure in the next two-five years but would like to enjoy the property and surroundings now.

2. How will the requested variance ensure substantial justice (fairness) to both the applicant as well as other property owners?

We intend to follow the rules of accessory structures and our acreage provides us sufficient room to build so not to negatively impact neighbors or curb appeal. Additionally, our parcel doesn't fall into the typical lot size that you see in the R-1 district within Gay.

3. Why would a lesser variance that would be more fair to other property owners **not** give substantial relief to the applicant?

Our neighboring property up to our property is zoned as RR-B. The standard lot size in the R-1 district that we fall into is between .3-1 acre in total. Any lesser variance would require us to build a principal structure first and follow the same guidelines as a lot significantly smaller in size. Due to current circumstances, we would like to enjoy our parcel to it's fullest but are unable to build a principal structure at this time.

- 4. What is unique about the property that is different from other properties in the area or the same zoning district? Our property is about 3.94 acres in size and other properties in the district average .3 to 1 acre at most.
- 5. Explain why the requested variance is not due to the actions of the applicant, owner, or their predecessors.

This land is currently undeveloped and we purchased it in the fall. Prior to purchasing this parcel, we used the Colligio Keweenaw County GIS map which indicated this property was zoned as RR-B which would allow us to move forward with the above action without requesting a variance. In conversation with the zoning admin it was then discovered that the official zoning map shows a sort of randomly placed rectangle over Gay to include our much larger parcel in the R-1 district.

Signed

Printed Name

Brent Fortin

This form **must be submitted** along with the application form, plans, and fee. Applications which do not include this form will **not** be accepted.

